Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report

Overall Project Rating: Satisfactory

Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions Decision:

must be addressed in a timely manner.

Project Number: 00068118

El proyecto persigue fortalecer las capacidades del Programa Nacional de Tuberculosis para la respuesta **Project Title:**

nacional a la tuberculosis, reducir el número de casos nuevos de tuberculosis y de la tasa de mortalidad a

nivel nacional.

01-Oct-2012 **Project Date:**

Strategic

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 1. Is the project pro-actively taking advantage of new opportunities, adapting its theory of change to respond to changes in the development context, including changing national priorities? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects this project)
 - 3: The project team completed and documented a horizon scanning exercise in the past year to identify new opportunities and changes in the development context that require adjustments in the theory of change. There is clear evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented changes to the project's theory of change, RRF, partnerships, etc. made in response, as appropriate. (both must be true to select this option)
 - 2: The project team has undertaken some horizon scanning in the past year to identify new opportunities and changes in the development context. The project board discussed the scanning and its implications for the project, as reflected in the board minutes. There is some evidence that the project took action as a result, but changes may not have been fully integrated in the project's theory of change, RRF, partnerships, etc.
 - 1: The project team may have considered new opportunities and changes in the development context since implementation began, but this has not been discussed in the project board. There is limited to no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result. This option would also be selected if no horizon scanning has been done to date during project implementation.

Evidence

En 2018 el Ministerio de Salud ha solicitado al PNUD una ampliación del alcance del proyecto y adicionar nuevos fondos incrementando la contribución por parte del gobierno. Con dicha extensión se pretende ampliar el acompañamiento y la prestación de servicios en la adquisición de consultorías, bienes y servicios establecidos en 2018.

- 2. Is the project aligned with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
 - 3: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least one of the proposed new and emerging areas; implementation is consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the project design; and the project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)
 - 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)
 - 1: While the project may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on a sectorial approach without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF. This option is also selected if the project does not respond to any of the three SP areas of development work.

Evidence

Management Response

El proyecto responde al enfoque general del SP en cuanto al acceso a los servicios básicos, con un alcance específico sobre la temática de tuberculosis.

- 3. Evidence generated through the project has been explicitly used to confirm or adjust the programme/CPD's theory of change.
 - Yes
 - Nο

Evidence

Si hay evidencia generada por el proyecto que confirma la teoría de cambio.

Relevant

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

- 4. Are the project's targeted groups being systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized, to ensure the project remains relevant for them? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
 - 3: Systematic and structured feedback has been collected over the past year from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups are active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
 - 2: Targeted groups have been engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local priorities. This information has been used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
 - 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform project decision making. This option is also selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
 - Not Applicable

Evidence

Management Response

En el año 2017 se recogió mayor evidencia de los impactos que tiene las contribuciones del Proyecto en específico con la Población Privada de Libertad (PPL).

- 5. Is the project generating knowledge particularly lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions and changes/course corrections to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
 - 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that the project's theory of change has been adjusted, as needed, and changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true to select this option)

7/11/2019	Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report			
2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true to select this option)				
	no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team. There is little s informed project decision making.			
Evidence	Management Response			
En 2017 el PNTB ha registrado lecciones importantes específicamente con el tratamiento en PPL. Esta población ha sido la más vulnerable y la más afectada en este período. Conjuntamente con el GFTAM se ha previsto la revisión de los indicadores específicos para reportar sobre PPL.				
relevant and producing the the option from 1-3 that be 3: The project team he measures to address geno	res (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women e intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made. (select st reflects the project) as systematically gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the ler inequalities and empowering women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments te. (both must be true to select this option)			
2: The project team t	2: The project team has some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true to select			
women. No evidence of ad	as limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering justments and/or changes being made. This option should also be selected if the project has no ler inequalities and empowering women relevant to the project results and activities.			
Evidence	Management Response			
El proyecto recopila inforrasegurar un acceso equita	nación desagregada por género para			
asegurar un assess equite				
	at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to lect the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)			
	widence that the project is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.			
	s currently not at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by using project results to advocate for policy change).			
1: The project is not a	at scale, and there are no plans currently to scale up the project in the future			

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

Evidence

Este es un proyecto de escala nacional.

Social & Environmental Standards

8. Does the project seek to further the realization of human options 1-3 that best reflects this project)	rights using a human rights based approach? (select from				
	on of human rights, on the basis on applying a human rights based an rights are actively identified, managed and mitigated through the option)				
2: Some evidence that the project furthers the realization of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment human rights have been identified, and are adequately mitigated through the project's management of risks.					
1: No evidence that the project aims to further the realization impacts on enjoyment of human rights are managed.	tion of human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse				
Evidence	Management Response				
Es un proyecto con enfoque de derechos, y en efecto uno de los riesgos identificados está orientado a preveer restricciones para la población privada de libertad (cárceles o centros de internamiento), ya que ahi es donde existe la mayor concentración de la infección.					
 Yes No Evidence En la implementación MINSAL ha logrado desarrollar convenir 	os de cooperación con las otras instituciones involucradas para				
asegurar que los insumos y medicamentos sean al alcance de	·				
social and environmental risks or grievances the answer is Yes	dated? (for projects that have not experienced unanticipated				
O No					
Evidence					
El proyecto no ha experimentado riesgos sociales o ambienta	ales no anticipados.				
Management & Monitoring	Quality Rating: Satisfactory				
1. Is the project's M&E Plan being adequately implemented? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)					

3: The project has a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress
data against indicators in the project's RRF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the
frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet
decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After
Action Reviews, are used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true to select this option)

- 2: The project has a costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources are not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned have been captured but may not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project has an M&E Plan, but costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic. Progress data is not being regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned are rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.

Evidence

Management Response

Una de las partidas relevantes para este proyecto es el monitoreo, el MINSAL destina cada año aproximadamente entre el 8% y el 10% del presupuesto total anual, para acciones precisas sobre monitoreo y evaluación.

12. Is project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews and uses evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report has been submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent is not functioning as a decision making body for the project as intended.

Evidence

Management Response

La junta de proyecto se reune regularmente y las acciones del mismo se implementan de acuerdo a los lineamientos que ahi se establecen.

13. Are risks to the project adequately monitored and managed? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

- 3: The project has actively monitored risks every guarter including consulting with key stakeholders at least once in the past year to identify continuing and emerging risks to project implementation and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures are being fully implemented to address each key project risk, and have been updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project has monitored risks every quarter, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to management plans and mitigation measures.

7/11/2019 Implementation and M	Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report	
1: The risk log has not been updated every quarter as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken to mitigate risks.		
Evidence	Management Response	
Se tiene un seguimiento mensual del proyecto y la Junta se reune al menos una vez al año. Se han analizado los riesgos y ha servido para tomar medidas preventivas para la implementación del mismo.		
Efficient	Quality Rating: Satisfactory	
adjust expected results in the project's results framework.YesNoEvidence		
MINSAL procura la adecuada provisión de los recursos en tien	npo y forma.	
best reflects the project)	iciently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that	
3: The project has an updated procurement plan. Impleme reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely r actions. (all must be true to select this option)	entation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly manner and addresses them through appropriate management	
2: The project has an updated procurement plan. The projectimely manner and addresses them through appropriate manage	ect annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a ement actions. (all must be true to select this option)	
1: The project does not have an updated procurement plan. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlene to procuring inputs in a timely manner, however management actions have not been taken to address them.		

Evidence

16. Is there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies taking into account the expected quality of results? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

Management Response

3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and seek efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true to select this option)

2: The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.		
1: There is little or no following standard procurer	evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyond ment rules.	
Evidence		
El Proyecto registra evide	ncia sobre economías y las reporta para potenciar nuevos alcances del proyecto.	
Effective	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory	
inective	Quality Rating. Fighty Satisfactory	
17. Is the project on track t	to deliver its expected outputs?	
Yes		
O No		
Evidence		
	a del avance en el cumplimiento a satisfacción del plan de compras, y se ha alcanzado hasta un 95% appromisos contra lo presupuestado	
	- Processing to processing	
	ar reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project is on track to achieve the desired results, ections if needed? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	
3: Quarterly progress	data has informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented are	
•	desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations and/or After used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both option)	
Action Reviews) have been must be true to select this 2: There has been at the desired development re	used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both	
Action Reviews) have been must be true to select this 2: There has been at the desired development reinform the review(s). Any n 1: While the project to	used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both option) least one review of the work plan during the year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving esults (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to eccessary budget revisions have been made. eam may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered or de to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by	
Action Reviews) have been must be true to select this 2: There has been at the desired development reinform the review(s). Any n 1: While the project to time, no link has been made	used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both option) least one review of the work plan during the year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving esults (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to eccessary budget revisions have been made. eam may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered or de to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by	

19. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true to select this option)

O. The second is described as a second secon	based as a second side of their constitutions of				
2: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true to select this option)					
1: The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.					
Not Applicable					
Evidence					
Se han aplicado correcciones sobre la marcha para la mejor ide preciso con la PPL.	entificación de los beneficiarios. Esto se ha visto de manera más				
20. Are at least 40 per cent of the personnel hired by the proj	ect, regardless of contract type, female?				
Yes					
O No					
Evidence					
La unidad ejecutora no es pagada con fondos del Proyecto. No	obstante, si se evidencia un balance de género con la				
contrataciónes de personal.					
ustainability & National Ownership	Quality Rating: Satisfactory				
21. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in to project? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the proje					
3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true to select this option)					
② 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used in combination with other support (such as country office support or project systems) to implement and monitor the project, as necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true to select this option)					
 1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with national implementation and/or monitoring of the project. 	stakeholders and partners in the decision-making,				
Not Applicable					
Evidence	Management Response				
El proyecto tiene un arreglo de support to NIM para la adqusiciones de servicios, equipos y medicamentos. El involucramiento de la contraparte de total en los aspectos de planificación y seguimiento del proyecto a través de una unidad específica del MINSAL destinada para el mismo.					

2. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems relevant to he project. The implementation <u>arrangements</u> have been adjusted according to changes in partner capacities. (select he option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)				
3: In the past year, changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems have been comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements have been formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (both must be true to select this option)				
② 2: In the past year, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true to select this option)				
1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.				
Not Applicable				
Evidence	Management Response			
La revisión del proyecto firmada en 2017, da cuenta de la solicitud de MINSAL en continuar con el apoyo a la implementación hasta diciembre de 2018				
3. The transition and phase-out arrangements are reviewed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including inancial commitments and capacity). (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project) 3: The project's governance mechanism has reviewed the project's sustainability plan in the past year, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true to select this option) 2: There has been a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.				
1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but there has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also select this option if the project does not have a sustainability strategy.				
Evidence	Management Response			
MINSAL ha definido una estrategia de salida que le permita la inclusión del PNTB dentro de la estructura institucional a fin de absorver el programa dentro del presupuesto del Ministerio.				

QA Summary/Project Board Comments:

MINSAL propone una revisión sustantiva No.3, que implicará cambio en el Acuerdo de Contribución firmado entre MINSAL y PNUD, para reflejar un aumento en la contribución de MINSAL por un valor de USD \$192,862.06. También se cambia el Plan de Desembolsos en términos de monto, siempre con pagos parciales, distribuidos de manera equitativa en los cuatro trimestres de 2018.

PNUD hace notar a MINSAL que se procederá a tramitar con Cancillería la revisión del documento de Revisión Sustantiva No.3. También hace notar que el calendario de desembolsos propuestos tiene relación directa con relación a los tiempos de ejecución del plan de compras de este año, y que los procesos deben distribuirse de la misma manera para asegurar que PNUD cuenta con los fondos previos a adquirir los compromisos. MINSAL tomA nota, y sugirió que se revisará y se hará intercambio de notas o correos para confirmar.